## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ANDREW TORO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

RUZE, INC.,

Defendant.

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #: \_\_\_\_
DATE FILED: \_08/21/2023

23-CV-2982 (AS) (BCM)

INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

## BARBARA MOSES, United States Magistrate Judge.

After consultation with the parties, the Court adopts the following case management and scheduling order pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16:

- 1. <u>Mediation</u>. At the request of the parties, this case will be referred to the Courtannexed mediation program by separate order.
- 2. Discovery Stay, Bifurcation.
  - a. Discovery is STAYED until the Court receives the final report of the mediator.
  - b. Upon receipt of the final report of the mediator, defendant may conduct discovery for a period of 60 days limited to plaintiff's standing to sue for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). See Harty v. W. Point Realty, Inc., 28 F.4th 435, 443 (2d Cir. 2022) (ADA "tester" lacked standing to sue hotel for maintaining allegedly non-compliant website). However, if defendant conducts a deposition of the plaintiff during this period, defendant must be prepared to pose all questions relevant to the parties' claims and defenses. The Court will not re-open plaintiff's deposition absent compelling circumstances.
- 3. <u>Summary Judgment</u>. At the close of the 60-day limited discovery period, defendant shall advise the Court by letter whether it intends to move for summary judgment on the issue of standing. If so, defendant shall (after consultation with plaintiff) propose a briefing schedule. If not, defendant shall (after consultation with plaintiff) propose a schedule for all remaining fact and expert discovery.
- 4. <u>Timely Discovery</u>. Discovery requests and notices must be served in time to allow the person served to respond, on the schedule set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, prior to the completion date for such discovery. Discovery applications, including letter-motions requesting discovery conferences, must be made promptly after the need for such an application arises and must comply with Local Civil Rule 37.2 and § 2(b) of Judge Moses's Individual Practices. Absent extraordinary

- circumstances, discovery applications made later than 30 days prior to the close of discovery may be denied as untimely.
- 5. <u>Conferences and Hearings</u>. All court conferences, hearings, and other proceedings will be held in Courtroom 20A of the Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse, unless the Court orders otherwise. If a proceeding takes place via teleconference, the parties must call (888) 557-8511 on their scheduled date, a few minutes before their scheduled time, and enter the access code 7746387. Please treat the teleconference as you would treat a public court appearance. If a conference or hearing in another matter is ongoing, please be silent (mute your line) until your case is called. If the proceeding takes place via videoconference, chambers will email the appropriate link to counsel in advance of the proceeding.
- 6. Remote Depositions. In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(4), the Court authorizes the parties to conduct any or all depositions in this action via telephone, videoconference, or other remote means, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(3) and (b)(4). This Order does not dispense with the requirements set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(5), including the requirement that, unless the parties stipulate otherwise, the deposition be "conducted before an officer appointed or designated under Rule 28," and that the deponent be placed under oath by that officer. For avoidance of doubt, a deposition will be deemed to have been conducted "before" an officer so long as that officer attends the deposition via the same remote means (e.g., telephone conference call or video conference) used to connect all other remote participants, and so long as all participants (including the officer) can clearly hear and be heard by all other participants.
- 7. Extensions and Adjournments. Any application for extension or adjournment of the time limits, deadlines or conferences set forth above must be made by letter-motion, in accordance with this Court's Individual Practices, as soon as the need for the extension or adjournment is reasonably apparent to the party making the application. Applications made after the expiration of the deadline in question may be summarily denied.
- 8. <u>Discovery of ESI</u>. If they have not already done so, counsel are hereby directed to confer with one another by telephone or in person within two weeks of the date of this Order regarding potential discovery issues concerning electronically stored information (ESI). An exchange of letters or emails is not sufficient. Counsel must discuss, among other things, sources of relevant ESI; steps taken or to be taken to preserve relevant ESI; identification of appropriate custodians; ESI search and review procedures; form of production of ESI; any limitations or anticipated difficulties regarding discovery or production of ESI; cost estimates; and proposals for containing or sharing costs.
- 9. <u>Discovery Applications</u>. Discovery disputes that cannot be resolved after good-faith negotiations may be presented by letter-motion, seeking a discovery conference, in accordance with Local Civil Rule 37.2 and this Court's Individual Practices. Such applications must be made promptly after the need for court

2

intervention arises, but in no event before the parties have met and conferred, in person or via telephone, with respect to all issues in dispute. An exchange of letters or emails is not sufficient. It is the Court's practice to decide discovery disputes at the Rule 37.2 conference, based on the parties' letters, unless the Court determines that more formal briefing is required.

10. <u>Fed. R. Evid. 502(d) Order.</u> The disclosure of documents or information (electronic or otherwise) subject to the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or other privilege or immunity from production shall not operate as a waiver of that privilege or immunity in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. This paragraph shall be interpreted to provide the maximum protection permitted by Fed. R. Evid. 502(d).

Dated: New York, New York August 21, 2023 SO ORDERED.

**BARBARA MOSES** 

**United States Magistrate Judge**